

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,598		04/05/2004	Fredrick I. Zink	ZFI-101-A	9611
21770	7590	09/03/2004		EXAMINER	
CHARLE			COLLINS, DOLORES R		
33150 SCHOOLCRAFT LIVONIA, MI 48150				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LIVONIA,	WII 4013	U		3712	
				37.12	

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A Handla					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\sim d$				
	10/816,598	ZINK, FREDRICK	ı. ()1				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dolores R. Collins	3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, no reply within the statutory minimum fod will apply and will expire SIX (6 tute, cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timel b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come became ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 April 2002.						
•	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	·	= 1 1					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date					
2) ☐ Notice of Braitsperson's Patent Brawing Neview (170-940) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/3/0.		e of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 & 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brumer (102).

Brumer discloses a Bowling Dice game.

Regarding claims 1 & 2

Brumer teaches a plurality of six sided dice with bowling indicia thereon (see figure 1). Brumer fails to teach the specific indicia outlined in the limitations of this claim, however, he teaches that his device is capable of "many modifications in structure and design without departing from the spirit of the invention" (see col. 2, lines 19-22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the design/indicia on the dice any shape or form since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in

Art Unit: 3712

terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of indicia on the dice does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter e.g. bowling pins and the substrate e.g. a strike or spots, which is required for patentability.

Art Unit: 3712

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Patentability has been found because the prior art fails to suggest or show the combination as set forth in the independent claim 3 including the specific method of play coupled with the order of dice manipulation. This requirement is not seen or fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3712

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Dolores R. Collins** whose telephone number is (703) 308-8352. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Derris Banks* can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 30, 2004

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700